

**From:** Claire Longhurst  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 2, 2024 3:47 PM  
**To:** Planning Consultation  
**Subject:** Planning Application 23/1380/OUT

Dear Mr Cummings

Planning Application 23/1380/OUT  
Land to the North of Exeter. Stoke Hill.

I wish to register my strong OBJECTION to the above proposal for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development is in a prominent area of green space which Exeter Council has indicated should be preserved to protect the visual amenity of the skyline of the northern hills of the city.
2. The road access to this site is narrow, steep, convoluted, and is not fit for the current levels of traffic using it. It cannot sustain any building traffic or subsequent domestic traffic or delivery vehicles to the new houses. The road bends sharply at the bottom and there are several accidents a year where vehicles leave the road and end up in the ditch or the stream. Water running off the hill pours down the road and pools at the old, single width bridge at the bottom. With climate change, the flooding here could make the road impassable for large parts of the year.  
With the parlous state of public transport in the city it is hard to imagine that Stagecoach would run a service up this single track road, whilst the gradient makes access impossible for all but professional cyclists. This will mean in practice that the residents will use private cars.  
Increased traffic on this road would mean increased danger for pedestrians and cyclists using the Green Circle (there is a crossing point at the narrow bridge) and for schoolchildren crossing to access both Stoke Hill Middle and First Schools.
3. Noise and disturbance from the building site will be alongside a green space important for wildlife.  
If the houses were built, light pollution would be significant in what is currently a dark location and an area of High Concern for Bird Assemblage.  
According to the Devon and Cornwall Police Designing Out Crime advice, the proposed gateways, parking areas, and paths through the development should be wide, brightly lit, and should have no

shrubs or trees planted alongside them. This will transform the dark hills into a floodlit concrete space.

It is already hard to see any but the brightest stars in the sky in north Exeter due to light pollution. Imagine children growing up and never seeing the stars!

4. **Damage to Nature.** The proposed development site adjoins an area of Priority Woodland and Meadowland. The site acts as a wildlife corridor and provides a green lung for the residents of the city. Environmental Health states that there has been insufficient assessment in the application of impacts on air quality. The removal of trees from the site will impact on air quality in the area. I understand that clearance has already been made at the boundary of the site. There is no Felling Licence in effect in this area. I note that Environmental Health states there should be no vegetation clearance undertaken by the applicant until a CEMP has been submitted and approved. I cannot find confirmation of this approval in the published documents.

Lockdown showed that in order to be healthy and happy, people need much more Nature Connectedness, not less. We cannot keep building on green space. It's a model that is unsustainable and destroys the very thing that makes Exeter a desirable place to live.

5. We already have sewerage pollution in the stream running below the site. South West Water have tried without success to resolve this issue. With added runoff from the development, not to mention extra pressure on the overloaded waste drainage system, more contaminated flooding in the valley seems inevitable.

6. The Applicant proposes converting the agricultural building into a community facility and shop. There is already a Farm Shop on Wrefords Lane and a thriving Community Shop, Cafe, and meeting hub at Sylvania Stores. A shop on the hill will generate more road traffic when facilities already exist close by.

7. With Climate Change and Brexit, the UK is facing greater food insecurity. Large areas of local agricultural land is currently under water after all the recent rain. The proposed development is on agricultural land assessed at Grade 3 which is too useful to sacrifice. It is especially important as it is on a hill and therefore unlikely to be flooded itself even if the valley is wet. We should be doing everything we can to preserve agricultural land to secure our future food supply.

The applicant very recently erected a huge barn on the site to support the farming activities there. From the size of the building, it

is clear that this land must be very productive and therefore should be protected at all costs.

8. Local Schools in the area are oversubscribed, Doctors' Surgeries are at capacity, there are no NHS Dentist places, and the local pharmacy closed leaving no other in walking distance. These circumstances exist even before the development at Exmouth Junction has been populated. There are no essential facilities for these proposed houses.

9. The City currently has 4years 4months of housing stock with a requirement for only 4 years. There is no need for this housing. If it were to be built in this location, judging by former large family homes on Stoke Hill, Monterey Gardens, Lebanon Close, Yew Tree Close and Lyncombe Close, it runs the risk of being snapped up by property investors and converted to student HMOs. These "households" eviscerate communities and contribute nothing in Council Tax.

I hope you will consider these points and deduce that this site is totally unsuitable for development.

Yours sincerely

Claire Longhurst

81 Collins Road  
Exeter