

From: Northernhay Clinic [mailto:]
Sent: 01 July 2020 12:34
To: Matthew Diamond <Matthew.Diamond@exeter.gov.uk>
Cc: diana.moore@greenparty.org.uk
Subject: Application 19/1556/FUL

Dear Matt,

Thank you for your email.

As you are aware ECC has to determine applications within the statutory time periods set by the Government.

Amendments to schemes which significantly alter the character or description of the proposal should not be accepted.

The amendments submitted by the applicant are undated and materially affect not only the size and scaling of the proposed development significantly but also the uses of the proposed development.

For some reason (unbeknown to us) the applicant is now granted another 'extension' by ECC. Should this application not have been determined by now? Are ECC facilitating the applicant to bend the rules to get this approved while material planning considerations from neighbours are being ignored?

In this context we would like to reiterate that the consultation process with us neighbours was (and still is) not 'genuine and open' and used by the applicant for 'information gathering while being reluctant to disseminate information'. (Paragraph 3.44 LVIA)

As pointed out before our objections and concerns have largely been ignored by the applicant. Many questions to the applicant via ECC remain unanswered.

In the first 'amended' application most of our concerns have not been taken into consideration at all. We are now very interested to see whether our comments and indeed hundreds of objections of the general public will also be ignored in the second 'amended' application.

This proposal has massive bearings on many lives and the Heritage setting of Exeter Central Conservation Area.

We will not be ignored.

Please place this in the public domain.

Many thanks

Jonathan Palmer & Dominic Huber