

EIA Screening Opinion Statement of Reasons

Regulation 5.(5) of The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (as amended)

Part 1	Application Details
а	Application Number:
a	23/1380/OUT
b	Site Address:
	Land To The North Of Exeter, Stoke Hill, Exeter
	Brief description of development:
с	Outline Planning Application (all matters reserved bar access) for up to 158 residential dwellings (Use Class C3) and up to 17,567 sq m of commercial floorspace (Use Classes E, F2, B2 and B8) with associated infrastructure.
	Area of development/works/new floorspace (as appropriate):
d	4.88ha
е	Has sufficient information been provided, i.e. a plan sufficient to identify the land, a description of the development (including its physical characteristics, sensitivity of the location, aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected, likely significant effects from residues/emissions, production of waste and use of natural resources), and such other info/reps developer wishes to provide, including features/measures envisaged to avoid/prevent significant adverse effects – for approval of RM/conditions, also sufficient info to identify original permission, and likely significant effects not previously identified? (<i>If no, notify in writing what additional info required</i>) Yes/No
f	Subsequent applications
	Approval of reserved matters or conditions? (If no, go to Part 2)
(i)	Yes/No No
(ii)	If YES to f(i), enter the description of development subject of the related planning permission: N/A
(iii)	If YES to f(i), was an Environmental Statement submitted with the application for the related planning permission? <i>(If no, go to Part 2)</i> Yes/No N/A
(iv)	If YES to f(iii), is the environmental information adequate? (If no, serve notice requesting further information in writing) – go to Part 3
	Yes/No N/A

Part 2 EIA Details

а	Schedule 1
(i)	Is the proposed development 'Schedule 1' development as described in
(1)	Schedule 1 of the EIA Regulations (as amended)?
	Yes/No No
(ii)	If YES, under which description of development (1-24)?
	N/A
b	Schedule 2
(i)	Is the proposed development described in Column 1 of Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations (as amended)?
	Yes/No Yes
(ii)	If YES, under which description of development in Column 1 (1-13)?
	10(b) Urban Development Project
	If YES to b(i), is the proposed development 'Schedule 2' development,
(iii)	as any part of it will be carried out within a 'sensitive area', i.e. SSSI,
(,	scheduled monument or European site (NB. the other areas do not
	apply to Exeter)?
	Yes/No No
(iv)	If YES, which area?
	N/A
(v)	If YES to b(i), is the proposed development 'Schedule 2' development,
	as the applicable thresholds/criteria in Column 2 are exceeded/met?
	Yes/No No
(vi)	If YES, which applicable threshold/criteria?
	No
	Screening for Schedule 2 development taking into account
(vii)	information provided by the applicant, the results of any relevant
	EU environmental assessment and Schedule 3 criteria that are
	relevant to the development – if YES to b(iii) or b(v)
	No Screening required

Part 3	Summary and Conclusion
а	Schedule and category of development: Schedule 2, 10(b)(Urban Development Project
b	Conclusions In accordance with the Annex to the Guidance on the 2011 Regulations, as set out on the Government guidance for Environmental Impact Assessment (Paragraph: 017 Reference ID: 4-017-20170728, revision date: 28 07 2017) a project that is listed within Schedule 2 column 1 but does not exceed the thresholds and criteria set out in column 2 are not Schedule 2 development and do not need to be screened under Schedule 3. Sites falling within Schedule 2 column 1 and within sensitive areas would be subject to Schedule 3 assessment. In this instance the site is not within a sensitive areas, as defined by the Regulations, and as such would not meet the screening criteria. The proposal is therefore not considered to meet the criteria for screening and an Environmental Statement is not required.

с	Is an Environmental Statement required?
	No
d	<i>(Subsequent applications only)</i> Was an ES submitted for the related PP and is the environmental information adequate for the current application for approval of RM/conditions?
	N/A

Case Officer	C. Cummings
Date	06 March 2024