

From: Northernhay Clinic [mailto:]
Sent: 18 June 2020 18:20
To: Matthew Diamond <Matthew.Diamond@exeter.gov.uk>
Subject: 19/1556/FUL

Dear Mr Diamond,

PLEASE ADD TO OUR OBJECTION ONLINE (WITHOUT PHOTOGRAPH, which must removed forthwith).

In response to the 'daylight and sunlight amenity survey' which has been 'added' to the application, we would like to stress the following:

1. We reject this 'survey', commissioned and paid for by the applicant, as unreasonable.
2. In the report the agents (Hollis) state (1.3.1.b) that the purpose of the report is to '...enable the local planning authority officers to evaluate the proposed development against the planning authority's relevant planning policy/ policies pertaining to daylight and sunlight amenity and so assist the officers in formulating their advice and recommendations to members of the planning committee.'

As far as we are aware there is no such policy at ECC.

3. In the report (2.4.2) the agents state '...in different circumstances the developer or planning authority may wish to use different target values. For example, in a historic city centre, or in an area with modern high-rise buildings, a higher degree of obstruction may be unavoidable if new developments are to match the height and proportions of existing buildings...'.

As far as we are aware there are no buildings in the vicinity of the proposal which are of similar height. Most buildings surrounding the proposal are 2/3 storeys high, the proposal is 6/7 storeys high.

4. In our view the consultation process was again being used for information gathering by the applicant and then supplied with another 'survey' which contradicts any objections made previously.

This is not open and genuine. Methods and engaging with different groups in the application process should be appropriate, reasonable and carefully considered.

We strongly believe that these basic principles are being ignored and we reiterate once again that this should have been a fresh planning application.

5. The applicant also publishes (in the daylight and sunlight amenity survey) a photograph of our private house and garden. This photograph to our horror clearly shows just how overlooked our house and garden would be with the change of use of the proposal, even at the lower levels. As stated in our previous objection this is contravention of our human rights.

Please find photograph attached.

Also, the photograph has clearly been taken by the applicant's instructed surveyor from our boundary wall. We have not given anyone permission to do that.

The photograph has then been put in the public domain without our permission. Could we please remind you that this planning application is pursued by the applicant for the sole aim of commercial gain.

The photograph breaches our right of privacy and our right to enjoy our home and garden. This breach of privacy is inappropriate and we feel intimidated by the unreasonable methods used by the applicant.

Could you please make sure the photograph is removed from the application immediately.

Many thanks

Jonathan Palmer & Dominic Huber

39 Northernhay Street
Exeter
EX4 3ER